UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/775,797	02/10/2004	Ramarathnam Venkatesan	MS307073.01/MSFTP588US 9675	
27195 TUROCY & W	7590 05/15/200 ATSON, LLP	EXAMINER		
127 Public Squa	are	TRAORE, FATOUMATA		
57th Floor, Key Tower CLEVELAND, OH 44114			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2436	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/15/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket1@thepatentattorneys.com hholmes@thepatentattorneys.com lpasterchek@thepatentattorneys.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/775,797	VENKATESAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	FATOUMATA TRAORE	2436				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Fe	ebruary 2009					
	action is non-final.					
·=						
closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposition of Claims						
• 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,6-10,14-16,18,20-31 and 33-35</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,6-10,14-16,18 and 20-27</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28 and 33-35</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>29-31</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)	_					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/775,797 Page 2

Art Unit: 2436

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 18, 2009 has been entered.

Status of claims

2. Claims 1, 15, 20, 28 and 33-35 have been amended. Claims 3, 5, 1-13, 17, 19 and 32 have been cancelled. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6-10, 14, 15-18, 20-27, 28-31 and 33-35 are pending and have been considered below.

Claim Objections

- 3. Claim 28 is objected to because of the following informalities: Line 5, recites the limitation of "an authorized user "which should state "said unauthorized user".

 Appropriate correction is required.
- 4. Claim 1 recites the limitation " transforms the first code to a new code", however claims 20, 28, 33-35 recite the limitations of "transforms the first code to a second code". Applicant need to be consistent with terminology used in the claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/775,797 Page 3

Art Unit: 2436

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

6. Claims 34 and 35: The claims recite the limitation of "A computer storage media having computer executable instructions stored thereon to transform a first code into a second code" and a lot of wherein clauses. Claims 34 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for process of transforming a first code that is designed within the noise model, and performs various algebraic transformations on such first code to create a second code. Upon transforming the first code into the second code, the second code will appear to be random to a computationally bounded adversary, does not reasonably provide enablement for using a single definition for transforming the code. The process in this claim consists of a single step: "using a single definition for transforming the first code to a new code, and thus is interpreted as a single means/single step claim under MPEP 2164.08(a). A single means claim, i.e., where a means recitation does not appear in combination with another recited element of means, is subject to an undue breadth rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. In re Hyatt, 708 F.2d 712, 714-715, 218 USPQ 195, 197 (Fed. Cir. 1983) (A single means claim which covered every conceivable means for achieving the stated purpose was held nonenabling for the scope of the claim because the specification disclosed at most only those means known to the inventor.). When claims depend on a recited property, a fact situation comparable

Application/Control Number: 10/775,797 Page 4

Art Unit: 2436

to Hyatt is possible, where the claim covers every conceivable structure (means) for achieving the stated property (result) while the specification discloses at most only those known to the inventor."

- 7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 8. Claims 33-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 9. Regarding claim 33: Claim 33 is a system claim comprising a processor executing the following". However, the component of system are all hardware, for example, means for receiving, means for transforming means for decoding means for generating etc. Appropriated correction is required.
- 10. Regarding Claims 34 and 35: Claims 34 and 35 are program per se however the last paragraph of claims 34 and 35 recites the limitation "pseudo random number generator", which is a hardware component. It is unclear to the examiner if the random number generator is part of computer storage media or if the random number generator is implemented in software only. Appropriated correction is required.

Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claims 28, 33-35 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Art Unit: 2436

12. Claims 29-31 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under

35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Fatoumata Traore whose telephone number is (571)

270-1685. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:00

a.m. to 4:00 p.m. and every other Friday from 7:30 a.m. to 3:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nassar G. Moazzami, can be reached on (571) 272 4195. The fax phone

number for Formal or Official faxes to Technology Center 2100 is (571) 273-8300. Draft

or Informal faxes, which will not be entered in the application, may be submitted directly

to the examiner at (571) 270-2685.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is

(571) 272-2100.

Friday, April 24, 2009

/F. T./

Examiner, Art Unit 2436

/Nasser G Moazzami/

Application/Control Number: 10/775,797

Page 6

Art Unit: 2436

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2436